Main Document Page 1 of 6 Amy Blalock 1001 Gayley Ave #24381 Los Angeles, CA 90024 amyblalock@gmail.com In Pro Se UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA In re: Adversary Case No. 24-AP-01152-BR AMY LYNNE BLALOCK, an individual; Bankruptcy Case No. 24-bk-12532-BR Debtor, Chapter: 7 STEWART LUCAS MURREY, an individual; DEFENDANT AMY BLALOCK'S RESPONSE 10 TO PLAINTIFF DR. STEWART LUCAS Plaintiff, MURREY'S REPLY DECLARATION IN 11 AMY LYNNE BLALOCK, an individual; OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT MS. AMY 12 Debt BLALOCK'S REPLY DECLARATION 13 Hearing Date: March 25, 2025 Hearing Time: 10:00am 14 Courtroom: 1668 Judge: Honorable Barry Russell 15 16 DEFENDANT AMY BLALOCK'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF DR. STEWART LUCAS 17 MURREY'S REPLY DECLARATION IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT MS. AMY BLALOCK'S REPLY DECLARATION 18 I, Amy Blalock, declare as follows: 19 1. I am the Defendant in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this 20 declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto. 21 DEFENDANT AMY BLALOCK'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF DR. STEWART LUCAS MURREY'S REPLY DECLARATION IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT MS.

AMY BLALOCK'S REPLY DECLARATION

Opposition to Defendant Ms. Amy Blalock's Reply Declaration.

my opinions, interactions or fears of the Plaintiff.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

3. *Object.* I have clearly stated that anything I've said about the Plaintiff is only an expression of

2. This Response is in response to Plaintiff Dr. Stewart Lucas Murrey's Reply Declaration in

- 4. *Object.* I have clearly stated that anything I've said about the Plaintiff is only an expression of my opinions, interactions or fears of the Plaintiff.
- 5. *Exhibit 1* of the Plaintiff's declaration only shows the Facegroup groups that exist. While I used to be a member of the 'Are We Dating the Same Guy? Los Angeles Group', a group that exists to protect women, *I only ever expressed my opinions, interactions, or fears within the group and only ever represented myself, not an anonymous person.*
- 6. *Object.* I never sought out to harass nor harm the Plaintiff with my comments in the 'AWDTSG?' Facebook Group. I only provided insight to my personal experience, personal opinions and personal fears about the Plaintiff.
- 7. *Object.* I commented "I'm afraid if there's any missing women in LA he has something to do with it" Not as a fact, but as stated, "I'm afraid..." as a fear. The Plaintiff is alleging in his declaration by the statement I made that I am 'aggressively' portraying him as a 'serial killer' which I find to be a disturbing and frightful thought process and statement about me.

 Furthering my fears. I would not inform the Plaintiff as I did not have a way to contact him, nor would I given the fact that I am afraid of the Plaintiff. I never sought to harm the Plaintiff and was not a part of any cyber-attack or conspiracy against the Plaintiff.
- 8. *Object. I, the defendant, never said that the Plaintiff sought to murder women.* Plaintiff claims that by my comment "never go to the second location!" was me stating that he seeks to murder women. I never made any comment that wasn't my own opinion. I never sought to maliciously harm the Plaintiff in any way whatsoever.

9. *Object.* My statement about him ending up on Dateline is my opinion, not a fact.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

- 10. *Object.* In this adversary case, the Plaintiff is claiming my statements online are what are harmful to him, my statement online was merely an account of my personal experience, albeit
 - not truthful in the way the Plaintiff described.
- 11. *Object.* I'm not aware of any documentaries being done about Plaintiff, nor have I participated in any media interviews. Further, the small sum I received from the GoFundMe was for legal fees only AFTER the Plaintiff sued me.
- 12. *Object. Irrelevant to me the defendant and this case*. I don't know the Plaintiff's involvement with Ms. Elly Shariat or interactions with her.
- 13. *Object.* I never sought injury or malice to the Plaintiff, nor did I profit off the alleged harm. I only ever provided my experiences, opinions or fears of the Plaintiff which he has not proven.
- 14. *Object.* I never sought injury or malice to the Plaintiff, nor did I profit off the alleged harm. I only ever provided my experiences, opinions or fears of the Plaintiff which he has not proven.
- 15. *Object.* I never sought injury or malice to the Plaintiff, nor did I profit off the alleged harm. I only ever provided my experiences, opinions or fears of the Plaintiff which he has not proven.
- 16. *Object.* Everything I've said online is my personal experience, opinions or fears of the Plaintiff.
- 17. *Object*. Everything I've said online are my personal experience, opinions and fears of the Plaintiff. I've never lied and have not perjured myself whatsoever.
- 18. *Object.* I never said someone fraudulently signed my name. I said that someone started the GoFundMe on behalf of some of the girls for legal funding AFTER the Plaintiff sued me. The undersigned was for legal defense funding, not saying we were approving everyone's experiences that were shown under the undersigned. And until the Plaintiff showed I had Facebook points, I had no idea what they were. I still don't know how those are used and am

not aware that there's any monetary or other benefit from them.

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19. *Object*. Everything I've said online are my personal experience, opinions and fears of the

Plaintiff.

20. *Object*. Everything I've said online are my personal experience, opinions and fears of the

Plaintiff. I was never in a co-conspiracy with anyone to harm the Plaintiff.

21. *Object*. This is the first time in either the state case or this lawsuit the Plaintiff said he tried to serve me before December 17th, when he improperly served me at my apartment by leaving a stack of papers at my doorstep himself. At the time, I thought it was a mistake until I realized it was service papers. Having never been served before, I looked up the case online in December and found out it was legitimately a case.

- 22. *Object*. I have not committed fraud, lied to the court nor did I profit from alleged harm of the Plaintiff.
- 23. *Object.* Everything I've said online are my personal experience, opinions and fears of the Plaintiff.
- 24. *Object*. My statements on *one* post and subsequent thread in the 'AWDTSG Group' were of personal experience, opinion and fears of Plaintiff. I was not involved in the allegedly decades long elaborate cyber-attack against Plaintiff, nor was I in any co-conspirarcy.
- 25. *Object*. My statements on *one* post and subsequent thread in the 'AWDTSG Group' were of personal experience, opinion and fears of Plaintiff. I was not involved in the allegedly decades long elaborate cyber-attack against Plaintiff, nor was I in any co-conspirarcy. If the Plaintiff has sought work opportunities and been denied these opportunities, he would have been able to prove that with objection letters from companies, which he has failed to provide. He has also failed to provide any other evidence showing how he's been monetarily or otherwise harmed.
- 26. Object. I've never been involved in any media campaign and have given any interviews, or

12

21

otherwise and the Plaintiff has not shown me in any of his Exhibits, only the other women he's sued.

- 27. Object. I have only ever spoken to my personal experiences, opinions or fears of the Plaintiff.
- 28. *Object.* I, the defendant, have never profited off any statement I've made about the Plaintiff, nor have I sought to profit off the Plaintiff. My only involvement was a GoFundMe someone else started to raise legal funds for the women the Plaintiff was suing, *after* the Plaintiff sued us for defamation.
- 29. *Object.* The Plaintiff putting me in a new state lawsuit over similar defamation reasons should be looked further into by this court.
- 30. *Object.* The Plaintiff putting me in a new state lawsuit over similar defamation reasons should be looked further into by this court.
- 31. *Object.* I was never involved in any media campaigns.
- **32.** *Object.* The Plaintiff putting me in a new state lawsuit over similar defamation reasons should be looked further into by this court.
- 33. *Object.* I've never had any malicious intent towards the Plaintiff, or anyone else in a secret conspiracy to harm the Plaintiff or anyone else otherwise.

In summary, I, Amy Blalock; the defendant, have not intentionally harmed the Plaintiff, I have not monetized from my comments about the Plaintiff, I have not been in any conspiracy to harm the Plaintiff nor has the Plaintiff been able to provide evidence of such. The Plaintiff has also not provided any evidence that my comments have severely harmed his life or his ability to earn a living. In my personal opinion and research, the Plaintiff abuses the legal system to bully, harass and extort money from his victims through long legal battles that are unsubstantial. This adversary case against me, being one of them and the new state lawsuit against me in the State of California, case #

AMY BLALOCK, DEFENDANT

IN PRO SE

20

21